

CH01-878

10
7/12/01
J



Dear ~~FILED~~ Caldwell,
HARRISBURG, PA

JUL 16 2001

my ~~MARY E. D'ANDREA CLERK~~
Per ~~Deputy Clerk~~ Please find enclosed
Respondents' request
for extension of time.

what I don't understand
is how Judge Blewitt construed my
request to "Administratively Close"
my action without prejudice as
a request to dismiss under 411(a)(2)
the order being dated on June 28, 2001.

I filed my objections on July 3, 2001.

Waist (Dist atty) Respondent
sent a copy of the June 28, 2001 order
and if so why would Respondents
be seeking an extension in which to
file an answer?

In my objection I
requested that magistrate Blewitt
order dismissing my action be
voided, and have the case
administratively closed until
state remedies are exhausted.

Pg 2

without prejudice of the state
doesn't decide my 14⁺ year old
PLRA petition. then I
would have the option to reopen
the action.

I believe this case
can be worked out in State Court.
it took me 15 years to get
to the U.S. Supreme Court only
to be told that a Gideon issue
didn't exist in my case. well
as you can read in my
Habeas petition there are numerous
Gideons violations, and I feel
at this point the state will
do anything to stall or
stewall my efforts to have
my case heard and decided
fairly. the U.S. Supreme Court
was lied to. I'm just making
sure it doesn't happen again.

pg 3

If your Honor grants the relief requested to administratively close my action then the Respondents don't have to respond yet. But if you deny my request then I must pursue the action.

If I was wrong to file an objection to the June 28, 2001 order instead of a COA to the 3d court please advise.

all I seek is a chance to have my appeal heard. after 15 years someone should realize that I'm doing everything possible, and its the State who is stonewalling Post-Conviction petitions that are full of merit. my question to the Federal Courts is this. a year to file Post Convictions, why not a year to finalize? Sincerely Eddie Lee